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Objective. To evaluate a peer-led tutoring program to assist students in academic difficulty in the didactic curriculum across multiple courses using one-on-one and large group peer-led sessions, and to evaluate the academic performance and perceptions of students in this program.

Methods. This study involved first-year (P1) through fourth-year (P4) pharmacy students who served as tutors and their P1 through P3 tutees. Tutoring was offered in multiple didactic courses using one-on-one and large group peer-led sessions. Didactic curriculum completion rates and perceptions of the program were assessed.

Results. A total of 463 (47%) P1 through P3 student pharmacists used the one-on-one or large group peer-led tutoring services in 28 courses across four academic years. Tutored students had a lower grade distribution compared to nontutored students, suggesting a more at-risk group for academic failures and dismissals. Despite this, the didactic curriculum completion rate was comparable between the tutored and nontutored students during the study period, suggesting that the program helped reduce academic dismissals of the at-risk tutored students. On the perceptions survey, 95% of respondents felt they improved their study habits, and 92% felt more confident in their ability to succeed.

Conclusion. This peer-led tutoring program appeared to be successful in providing comparable didactic curriculum completion rates of tutored students, who represented an at-risk group for academic failures and dismissals compared with nontutored students. The tutoring program structure and design may be a useful tool for other colleges of pharmacy as they seek ways to assist students.
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INTRODUCTION

Peer-assisted learning, a broad term that describes diverse learning processes between students at or near the same academic level, comprises peer tutoring, peer teaching, peer mentorship, peer assessment, and peer modeling. Peer-assisted learning has been reported in health professions education, including medicine, nursing, physical therapy, and pharmacy, to provide academic support to students. Since students’ academic success is an important measure of quality education, institutions monitor student performance and provide academic support services to students in academic difficulty. To foster student success, peer-led tutoring, a well-recognized approach to improve classroom performance, can provide one-on-one student intervention and support. In health professions education, studies on peer-assisted learning to support students in academic difficulty have been reported. Two studies evaluating peer-led supplemental instruction for at-risk medical students reported improved outcomes in the tutored group, including higher test scores and lower exam failure rates. Ramsey and colleagues described a peer mentor tutoring program to support student nurses from disadvantaged backgrounds who were at risk for academic difficulty, and they reported on factors that could impact student performance. In pharmacy education, one study evaluated the effectiveness of a mandatory academic enrichment program for struggling first-year student pharmacists; it reported lower course failure rates compared to low-performing students in the same course from the previous two years.

Some limitations characterize studies in pharmacy education that have used peer-assisted learning to teach clinical skills, didactic courses, or in the experiential setting. Most studies used a singular approach to deliver the peer-assisted learning program, which included presentations, teaching sessions, seminars, or workshops. Many of these studies used...
survey-based assessment to evaluate students’ confidence and perceptions, and not all studies included an objective evaluation of tutee performance. Studies that included an objective evaluation reported performance on a course assessment or examination. Only one study in pharmacy education reported course pass rates as an objective evaluation, but the study period was limited to only one semester.

To aid with successful progression of student pharmacists through the curriculum, academic support for students needs to span across the curriculum, needs to include multiple approaches for delivering the support, and needs an objective evaluation of students’ performance. The objectives of this study were to evaluate a programmatic structured peer-led tutoring program to assist students in academic difficulty in the didactic curriculum across multiple courses, using one-on-one and large group peer-led sessions, and to evaluate the academic performance and perceptions of students in this program. We hypothesized that this program would prevent course failures of students using the program and yield positive perceptions of the program.

METHODS

This study evaluated a structured peer-led tutoring program that used one-on-one and large group peer-led tutoring to assist students in academic difficulty in the Doctor of Pharmacy (PharmD) didactic curriculum. The study, conducted over four academic years (2013-2017), occurred at Mercer University College of Pharmacy in Atlanta, Georgia. At this institution, student pharmacists receive a traditional four-year curriculum, which comprises three years of didactic education followed by experiential education during the last year. The study institution’s local chapter of the Rho Chi Society, with support from the college of pharmacy’s administration, developed and offered the peer-led tutoring program to assist students in academic difficulty. The Rho Chi Society, the academic honor society in pharmacy, recognizes students with distinguished scholarly achievements, representing the top 20% of the graduating class.

Student pharmacist members of the Rho Chi Society in their second through fourth professional years (P2-P4) served as tutors, while tutees were first-year (P1) through third-year (P3) student pharmacists. Tutors selected the courses they were interested in tutoring and delivered peer-led instruction in completed courses. As Rho Chi Society members, tutors had demonstrated successful and distinguished academic performance. Tutoring services, consisting of one-on-one and large group peer-led sessions, were offered in various P1-P3 courses in the didactic curriculum.

Prior to participating in the program, faculty advisors for the Rho Chi Society created and distributed a standard operating procedure for the tutoring program to tutors, tutees, and course coordinators. Additionally, all tutors received a one-hour training at the beginning of each semester on these standard operating procedures and on effective ways to conduct the sessions. This in-person training was delivered in the form of verbal instruction, role play, and journal article discussion. Although the tutee grades were not released to the tutors, the advisors emphasized the importance of student privacy during the training session, because tutees may disclose their performance in confidence with tutors during the one-on-one sessions.

Students in academic difficulty, defined as a score of less than 70% on an exam in a course (which was considered a failing grade), qualified to receive fee-waived, one-on-one tutoring sessions, and the students had to request this service to be assigned a tutor. One-on-one tutoring was available to students who were not in academic difficulty at a fee of $20 per hour, which was paid to the Rho Chi local chapter. Prior to each session, the tutees notified the tutors about the topics to review during the session. The tutors instructed the tutees to prepare questions about difficult or unclear concepts. As such, the tutor tailored the session based on the express needs of the tutee and prepared questions to assess the tutee’s understanding of the material and foster the tutee’s application of course concepts. After completion of each one-on-one session, tutees were asked to complete an online evaluation form to document the occurrence of the session and to provide feedback on the quality of the session and their satisfaction and perceptions of the tutoring program. Tutees were asked to indicate their agreement with the following statements on a yes-or-no scale: “As a result of this session, I improved my study strategies,” and “as a result of this session, I feel more confident about my ability to succeed in this course.”

For the large group sessions, at the beginning of each semester, course coordinators contacted the Rho Chi faculty advisors to request the large group peer-led sessions in their course. Typically, the large group peer-led sessions occurred once a week and involved one to two tutors. Tutors led a review session at a set time, and the review session was open to any student to attend at no cost. Prior to the session, tutors contacted course faculty to identify focus areas for the session and sent any prepared presentation or session content to course faculty for feedback. For the large group sessions, tutors reviewed key concepts in the course that the tutors and course faculty identified as important focus areas. Typically, the tutors engaged the attendees with an interactive format, such as question-and-answer sessions and sometimes instructional games.
To improve student accessibility, the college of pharmacy administration promoted these services and instructed students and course coordinators on the process of requesting tutoring. The Rho Chi faculty advisors set up the large group peer-led sessions two to three days prior to each course exam. Administrative support personnel and course coordinators notified students of the session schedule through weekly electronic messages. Additionally, the administrative support personnel disseminated weekly messages to faculty advisors about students’ academic performance. The faculty contacted these students to encourage them to use one-on-one tutoring and group peer-led sessions. Students were expected to initiate the one-on-one tutoring request by contacting the tutoring program administrative assistant. Once the student requested the one-on-one tutoring, administrative support assisted with finding tutor availability, setting up one-on-one sessions, confirming eligibility for fee-waived one-on-one tutoring for students in academic difficulty, and tracking performance in the tutored course.

Data on students and course performance were obtained from the registrar using a utility incorporated into the administrative software. For initial data collection, we used Microsoft Excel and imported those files into SPSS Statistics version 27.0.1.0 (IBM Corp) for analytical data management, interim calculations, and statistical calculations.24 We assessed the characteristics of students using the program, their usage of tutoring services over the four academic years, and their course performance. Since students could be tutored in multiple courses, some tabulations included students multiple times, which we designated as student by course; other tabulations were compiled across a student’s overall performance. For data collection purposes, we tracked student outcomes each time a student used tutoring in a course during a specific term (called student by course by term). Two course terms (fall and spring semesters) made up one academic year. The student by course by term metric allows for repeat measures to account for students tutored in the same course multiple times or a different course in the same term. Specifically, students tutored in one term for one course could be counted again for subsequent tutoring encounters that occurred within the same course and term or in a different course during a different term.

To evaluate the program and its impact, we assessed the academic performance of students (and student by course for some metrics) participating in the program and their didactic curriculum completion rates during the study period, in comparison to students who did not use the program. The didactic curriculum completion rate was assessed by comparing the proportion of students successfully completing the didactic component of the curriculum (P1-P3) between tutored and nontutored students. The didactic curriculum completion rate was defined as students who were not academically dismissed due to earning three failing grades in the curriculum. Large group peer-led sessions data for courses which were consistently offered for at least three out of the four academic years reviewed were included in the analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted using the Pearson chi-square test for data that did not fail the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality, ie, data that appeared to conform to a normal distribution, and using the Mann-Whitney test otherwise. Tutees’ satisfaction and perceptions of the one-on-one tutoring services were reported using descriptive statistics. One survey per tutee per course was evaluated, and since a student could receive tutoring in multiple courses during the study period, more than one survey per student could have been received. For per-student tabulations, the predominant or median response across course was assigned to the respective student. Institutional review board approval was obtained from the study institution.

RESULTS

During the study period, a total of 463 P1-P3 student pharmacists used the tutoring program in 28 courses, representing 47% of the total number of students in these courses (Table 1). Over the four academic years in 28 didactic courses, we tracked a total of 12,783 students by course by term combinations. The courses in which tutoring services were provided ranged from P1 foundational courses, such as Pharmaceutics, Pharmacy Law & Ethics, and Principles of Pharmacokinetics, to P2 and P3 disease-based modules. The five most common courses in which tutoring sessions were offered were Nervous System Disorders I (17%), Infectious Disease II (8.1%), Infectious Disease I (6.8%), Endocrine Disorders (6.8%), and Nervous System Disorders II (6.1%).

The use of the tutoring services, when evaluating student by course by term usage, increased substantially in 2013-2014 and remained consistently over 50% of students during all terms through 2016-2017. (Pearson chi-square p < .001) (Table 2). In relation to the tutor resources used to support this program, tutors spent a total of 527.5 hours delivering the one-on-one and large group peer-led sessions over the four academic years included in this study. This included 324.5 hours spent delivering one-on-one sessions and 203 hours spent in the large group peer-led sessions. While specific tutor data was not tracked, the number of tutors each year varied based on the number of Rho Chi–eligible students in each class year and ranged from 30-45 P2-P4 tutors. All Rho Chi students were encouraged to tutor at least one session to help with the lift
of the program, but this was not always possible for P4 students due to advanced pharmacy practice experience responsibilities.

Categorical and numerical analysis of overall course grade performance of nontutored versus tutored students during the study period revealed a lower grade distribution in the tutored students, suggesting a more at-risk group for academic failures and dismissals. Specifically, there was a statistically significant difference between overall A-F course grade performance using table counts (Pearson chi-square \( p = .001 \)) of nontutored versus tutored students, the latter having an A-F grade distribution skewed toward F. This lower grade distribution was also confirmed numerically when letter grades in each course over the study period were converted to scores, where F = 0, C = 2, C + = 2.5, B = 3, B + = 3.5 and A = 4 (Mann-Whitney test \( p < .001 \)). Despite this, there was no statistically significant difference between the overall didactic curriculum completion rates of tutored versus nontutored students during the study period (Pearson chi-square \( p = .19 \)), suggesting a benefit of the program in reducing academic dismissals of the at-risk tutored students (Table 3).

Of 122 students in the one-on-one tutoring program, 64% responded to give their perceptions and satisfaction with the program. A total of 95% of respondents agreed with the sentiment that as a result of the tutoring session, they improved their study strategies, and 92% agreed with the sentiment that as a result of the tutoring session, they felt more confident about their ability to succeed in the course.

### DISCUSSION

This is the first study, to our knowledge, that evaluated the successful delivery of a programmatic approach to a structured peer-led tutoring program designed to assist students in academic difficulty, across multiple courses over four academic years in the didactic pharmacy curriculum. This peer-led tutoring program used two different approaches, namely one-on-one and large group peer-led sessions, to engage learners and to provide academic support. The didactic curriculum completion rate was reported as an objective assessment of the tutoring program. Although the tutored students in this study had a lower overall grade distribution compared to nontutored students, we observed a similar didactic curriculum completion rate between the two groups, suggesting that the program helped in reducing academic dismissals of the at-risk tutored students. The grade distribution of the tutored and nontutored students indicated that the tutoring program was effective at targeting students in academic difficulty, which achieved the college’s goal of providing academic support services, including to at-risk students who failed an exam.

In this study, two delivery approaches were used, the one-on-one and large group peer-led sessions. The large group peer-led sessions were implemented after the individual tutoring sessions had been ongoing, as a way to

### Table 2. Student by Course by Term Use of Tutoring Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic year</th>
<th>Tutored, No. (%)</th>
<th>Total, No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>1405 (41.3)</td>
<td>3401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>1728 (54.0)</td>
<td>3202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td>1645 (52.3)</td>
<td>3142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>1689 (55.6)</td>
<td>3037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2017</td>
<td>6467 (50.6)</td>
<td>12,783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson chi-square \( p < .001 \)

### Table 3. Didactic Curriculum Completion Rate of Tutored Versus Nontutored Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Completion rate, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nontutored ( (n = 513) )</td>
<td>504 (98.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutored ( (n = 463) )</td>
<td>449 (97.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ( (N = 976) )</td>
<td>953 (97.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson Chi-square \( p = .192 \)
expand the reach of the program and to overcome potential barriers of hesitancy to participate in one-on-one sessions, and it was intended to appeal to students’ comfort with group learning and peer-led instruction. The one-on-one tutoring service also presented a valuable aspect to this program because tutors had the opportunity to customize the session based on the unique needs of the tutee and to better provide more directed peer-led instruction. Many studies describing peer-assisted learning services in the pharmacy curriculum have reported a singular approach to delivering these services within the program, including teaching sessions, presentations, seminars, or workshops.6,7,13,18,21 For example, Tang and colleagues reported the design and evaluation of a student-led managed care elective that involved peer-led discussion-based presentations, while Lehrer and colleagues described the use of peer-led problem-based seminars delivered by second-year medical students to first-year medical and pharmacy students.7,21

Although an objective evaluation of student performance is important to demonstrate the value of peer teaching or peer-led tutoring, previous studies that included an objective measure to assess student performance reported varied outcomes.15,17,25 A study on peer tutoring for physical therapy students compared grades from an advanced physiology course to scores in an introductory physiology course.25 After converting the letter grades to an 11-point numerical score, the course grades in the advanced physiology course were lower for the tutored and nontutored students, but the decline in scores was significantly less in the tutored group (p = .021).25 Bridgham and colleagues compared the effectiveness of tutoring across multiple courses over two academic years and reported that at-risk medical students who participated in 80% of the tutoring sessions achieved higher test averages than predicted in seven of the 12 tests compared with nontutored students (p ≤ .05).15 In pharmacy education, Dirks-Naylor and colleagues described a group peer-led tutoring program that targeted first-year student pharmacists in academic difficulty and reported that the course failure rate for the intervention group was 6.7% compared to the failure rate of the previous two years, 11.1% and 9.4%, respectively.17 In our study, we identified the didactic curriculum completion rate as an important and unique objective measure of student performance and success since it affects progression through the curriculum. To assess this measure, we evaluated the attainment of three course failures during the study period, which leads to an academic dismissal. Despite the tutored students having lower academic performance, no significant difference was observed in the didactic curriculum completion rates between tutored and nontutored students. Based on the didactic completion rate, the programmatic tutoring program appears to be effective as a preventative strategy to reduce course failures. Beyond course failures, this tutoring program could be used as an intervention to provide academic support and minimize the need for course remediation, which may, in turn, influence the experiential curriculum, as poor academic performance, including course failures and lower grade point averages, is a risk factor associated with failure or poor performance on advanced pharmacy practice experiences.26

Currently, existing studies on peer-assisted learning in the pharmacy literature have evaluated students’ perceptions, skills gained, and attitudes.7,10-13,18,19,21,22 Rodis and colleagues conducted a survey and reported that a majority of students agreed that student peer mentoring on a drug information question improved the likelihood of tutees receiving a higher grade.11 In another study by Zhang and colleagues, students reported that peer-led study groups helped them gain confidence and reach competency on the exam material.20 Our study revealed similar findings, where the majority of student respondents agreed that as a result of the one-on-one tutoring session, they improved their study strategies and felt more confident in their ability to succeed in the course.

This study had a few limitations. The peer-led tutoring program may not have been the only intervention that students in academic difficulty used to help improve their success in the course. In addition, we did not control for other factors that may correlate with success, including undergraduate grade point average and Pharmacy College Admission Test (PCAT) scores. The evaluation for successful completion of the didactic curriculum was conducted based on data collected over the four-year study period in 28 courses for which tutoring was received. Individual student data was not tracked from the beginning to the end of their didactic curriculum, so we may not have captured future course failures in the curriculum beyond the study period for both tutored and nontutored students. Lastly, our study was conducted at one institution, which may limit application to other colleges of pharmacy. We plan to continue to refine our tutoring program to meet students’ needs toward achieving academic success. Specifically, based on students’ requests, we migrated to recording the in-person large group sessions and making the recordings available to students as an additional studying tool and when personal schedules prohibited session attendance. In addition, due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the challenges associated with in-person gatherings, the large group peer-led sessions shifted to a live online platform, and session recordings were made available to students. Our description of the delivery of a programmatic approach to a structured peer-led tutoring program...
in the didactic curriculum across multiple courses using two delivery approaches may help provide insights to other colleges of pharmacy on the design of such a program, on the logistics involved, and on program evaluation.

CONCLUSION
A programmatic approach to a structured peer-led tutoring program designed to assist students in academic difficulty was successfully delivered across multiple courses in the didactic curriculum over four academic years. This peer-led tutoring program appeared to be successful in providing comparable didactic curriculum completion rates of tutored students who represented an at-risk group for academic failures and dismissals compared with nontutored students. Our peer-led tutoring program for academic support may be a useful reference for other colleges of pharmacy.
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